

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/826,862	04/06/2001	Shinji Kuga	0505-0813P	3205
2292 7	590 04/03/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
			MCANULTY, TIMOTHY P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
*	09/826,862	KUGA ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy P McAnulty	3682	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	pears on the cov r sheet w	vith th correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	January 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishihara in view of Izumi.

Ishihara discloses in figure 4 a V-belt transmission comprising a crankshaft 56; a driving pulley having a fixed pulley half 58 fixed to said crankshaft; a axially movable pulley half 68; a plurality of cooling fins 64located on said fixed pulley half; an outside air inlet port (unreferenced) arranged opposite said fins; a short sleeve (un-referenced); a ramp plate 76; and a long sleeve 74; wherein said driving pulley coupled to a driven pulley so as to transmit a driving force to said driven pulley wherein the driven pulley is connected to an axel 148 of a wheel drive section of the transmission via a driven shaft 94, a counter shaft 150, and a plurality of transmission gears 140. Ishihara does not disclose said fixed pulley half being fixed to said crankshaft by a bolt. However, Izumi teaches in figure 4, a fixed pulley half of a variable radius pulley fixed to a shaft with a bolt. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Ishihara in view of the teachings of Izumi to fix the fixed pulley half to the crankshaft by a bolt engaged in a bolt hole within the crankshaft to provide a greater thread engagement without increasing the overall length of the crankshaft.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,862

Art Unit: 3682

Regarding claims 6 and 18, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a chamfer on the outer edge of the bolt hole as it is old and well known in the art to provide a chamfer on a bolt hole to provide proper thread alignment.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 30 January 2003 in Paper No. 11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Izumi clearly teaches the use of a threaded bolt to fix a pulley half to a shaft. Although Izumi discloses the threaded bolt fixing a pulley half to a transmission main shaft, based the teachings of Izumi, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a threaded bolt to fix pulley half to a crankshaft. The teaching of Izumi is not merely the use of a threaded bolt to fix a pulley half to a transmission main shaft but more generally the use of a threaded bolt to fix a pulley half to any shaft. As such, the teachings of Izumi are applicable to modify the apparatus of Ishihara to attach a fixed pulley half to a shaft of a vehicle transmission even is such shaft is the crankshaft.

Applicant's traversal filed on 30 January 2003 in Paper No. 11 regarding the Official Notice taken by the Examiner that it is old and well known in the art to provide a chamfer on a bolt hole is not deemed to be seasonably challenged. A seasonable challenge constitutes a demand for evidence made as soon as practicable during prosecution. Thus, applicant is charged with rebutting the well known statement in the next reply after the Office action in which the well known statement was made. This is necessary because the examiner must be given the opportunity to provide evidence in the next Office action or explain why no evidence is required. See MPEP §2144.03. The Examiner first relied upon Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to provide a chamfer on a bolt hole in the Office action mailed 28 December

Application/Control Number: 09/826,862

Art Unit: 3682

2001. In Applicant's reply filed 15 March 2002 which was the next reply after the Office action in which the well known statement was made, the applicant did not make a demand for evidence specifically traversing the well known statement. If applicant does not seasonably traverse the well known statement during examination, then the object of the well known statement is taken to be admitted prior art. In re Chevenard, 139 F.2d 71, 60 USPQ 239 (CCPA 1943).

### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/826,862

Art Unit: 3682

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687 for regular communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

William C. Joyn 4/2/03 William C. Joyob Britani Programia